Management Discussion and Analysis – Form 51-102F1 For the Period Ended January 31, 2014

The following Management Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A) prepared as of March 26, 2014 should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements for the period ended January 31, 2014, and the related notes thereto. Those unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") and in accordance with International Accounting Standard 34 ("IAS 34") – Interim Financial Reporting. All dollar amounts included therein and in the following MD&A are expressed in Canadian dollars except where noted.

The reader should also refer to the annual audited financial statements and the MD&A for the year ended April 30, 2013. Statements in this report that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements involving known and unknown risks and uncertainties, which could cause actual results to vary considerably from these statements. Readers are cautioned not to put undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

Additional information related to Nevada Exploration Inc. (the "Company" or "NGE") is available for view on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS

Nevada Exploration Inc. is a publicly traded junior mineral exploration company whose shares are traded on the TSX Venture Exchange ("TSX-V"). The Company is engaged in gold exploration in Nevada, USA. The Company was incorporated under the Canada Business Corporations Act on April 6, 2006. On July 14, 2010, the Company amalgamated with its subsidiary 2107189 Ontario Inc. The Company and its wholly owned subsidiary Pediment Gold LLC are referred to herein collectively as "the Company", "NGE", "our", or "we".

NGE is applying the latest in covered deposit exploration technology to identify, acquire, and advance new exploration properties in Nevada's highly prospective, yet underexplored covered basins. Specifically, the Company has developed proprietary hydrogeochemistry (groundwater chemistry) exploration technology to explore for gold in Nevada's covered basins where traditional exploration technology are challenged. NGE's business model is to create shareholder value by leveraging its properties and technology through generative exploration, joint ventures, and other exploration partnerships.

LAND ACQUISITION AND MAINTENANCE

On an ongoing basis, the Company evaluates the holding costs and results to date at each of its properties to ensure that the Company focuses its resources on land with the highest exploration potential.

As of March 26, 2014, NGE directly holds 661 unpatented mining claims and other mineral interests in the following properties through its wholly owned US subsidiary Pediment Gold LLC:

Project	NGE	NGE Claims		Total	
	Claims	Area (km²)	Area (km²)	Area (km²)	
Fletcher Junction (FJ)	117	9.5	-	9.5	
Hot Pot (HP)	6	0.4	8.8	9.2	
Awakening (AW)	158	12.0	-	12.0	
Kelly Creek (KC)	194	15.7	20.2	35.9	
Grass Valley (GV)	-	-	59.0	59.0	
South Grass Valley (SGV)	186	15.0	-	15.0	
TOTAL	661	52.6	88.0	140.6	

^{*}Leased private lands and interest in claims on BLM land held by third parties.

Management Discussion and Analysis – Form 51-102F1 For the Period Ended January 31, 2014

EXPLORATION RISK MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

NGE manages exploration risk by focusing exploration resources in specific, planned stages on each property. If the results from one stage are positive, then NGE allocates funds to the next stage. If at any stage, results are negative, NGE drops the property from further consideration. NGE's staged exploration strategy assures that properties showing positive results move aggressively through the exploration pipeline.

NGE's exploration stages include:

- Hydrogeochemistry: NGE first uses its proprietary hydroprobe sampling technology to collect regularized hydrogeochemistry samples across areas already shown to be prospective based on samples collected from existing springs and wells. NGE uses the data to develop a computerized hydrogeochemistry model of each target.
- Acquisition: NGE acquires the mineral rights covering prospective targets showing large areas of highly anomalous hydrogeochemistry. If a target of exploration interest is on BLM land that is open to location (available), NGE locates mineral claims. If a target lies on private land, NGE completes a title review to determine mineral title ownership, and then endeavours to negotiate an agreement with the owner.
- Surface Geochemistry: NGE completes detailed soil, vegetation, and/or soil gas sampling across areas
 demonstrating prospective hydrogeochemistry to detect the possible vertical migration of gold and traceelements from the underlying bedrock into the soils above. The use of surface geochemistry allows NGE to
 confirm the presences of anomalous levels of gold and other trace elements in a secondary medium in addition to
 in the groundwater.
- Gravity Geophysics: NGE uses detailed gravity geophysics to provide valuable information about the depth to bedrock across a property. Gravity data can suggest areas of strong changes in the relief or composition of the underlying bedrock, which can be indicative of underlying fault zones and alteration that often control the location of gold mineralization.
- Air Magnetics: NGE uses detailed air magnetic geophysics to provide information on the locations and types of rocks, fault zones, and hydrothermal alteration that generally accompany large gold deposits.
- Seismic Geophysics: NGE uses seismic geophysics, where appropriate, to identify deep-seated, steeply-dipping
 fault zones that can be projected into the near surface environment. Major, high-angle structures are important
 since they provide a potential conduit or 'plumbing' system for potential gold-bearing, hydrothermal fluids to
 access near-surface areas and deposit gold.
- Drilling: where properties successfully pass through the above exploration stages, NGE uses drilling to test for:
 (1) shallow bedrock (< 1,000ft beneath the surface);
 (2) structures or faults in bedrock that may source potential mineralization;
 (3) bedrock that has been altered by hydrothermal fluids;
 (4) anomalous concentrations of gold and associated trace-elements in bedrock;
 (5) sufficiently sized target to reasonably contain an economic resource.
 NGE evaluates drilling results based on these criteria to determine whether or not to continue to maintain each property and commit further exploration expenditures towards them.

Management Discussion and Analysis – Form 51-102F1 For the Period Ended January 31, 2014

MINERAL EXPLORATION PROPERTIES

Fletcher Junction (FJ)

The Fletcher Junction Project is located in Mineral County, Nevada, approximately 30km southwest of Hawthorne, Nevada. The Company has a 100% interest in 117 claims (9.6km²) at the Fletcher Junction Project, subject to a 1.25% net smelter return royalty ("NSR") to Royal Gold, Inc.

On December 18, 2008, NGE announced the completion of a Phase I RC drill program at Fletcher Junction, and presented the detailed results that demonstrate how NGE used its hydrogeochemistry exploration technology to discover a new, gold-bearing hydrothermal system in an otherwise blind, covered bedrock setting. Nine wide-spaced drill holes were completed to target depth, and all nine encountered altered bedrock that contained geochemically anomalous gold and gold-associated trace elements, as well as anomalous gold and trace-element hydrogeochemistry. The bedrock, alteration, and the suite of gold-associated trace elements found at Fletcher Junction are similar to those found at the nearby Aurora mining district, noted for historic, high grade underground production.

While significant intervals of potentially ore grade mineralization were not encountered in the Phase I drilling, management believes that the results at Fletcher Junction are substantive in that they demonstrate how NGE has used its unique and proprietary hydrogeochemistry exploration technique to discover a new gold-bearing, hydrothermal system in a covered bedrock setting. The results to date at Fletcher Junction add value to NGE's other projects that were all identified using the same hydrogeochemistry exploration technology, and they establish NGE as a source of quality exploration projects for potential Joint Venture partners.

NGE believes the first phase drill results at Fletcher Junction justify a much larger, Phase II drill program specifically designed to test the vertical fault zones believed to contain ore-grade gold mineralization that source the anomalous gold in groundwater, alluvium, quartz-boulders and bedrock at Fletcher Junction. In preparation for Phase II drilling, NGE has submitted and received approval from the US Forest Service for a new Plan of Operations.

During the year ended April 30, 2013, the Company entered into an Exploration and Option to Joint Venture Agreement with Spruce Ridge Resources Ltd. ("Spruce Ridge"), whereby Spruce Ridge had the right to earn a joint venture interest in the property. During the period ended January 31, 2014, Spruce Ridge terminated the Exploration and Option to Joint Venture Agreement and retains no interest in the project. During the course of the Agreement, Spruce Ridge completed two core holes, totaling approximately 1,230 metres (4,000 feet). NGE has completed assaying the drill core, is currently updating the project's exploration model with the new data, and has begun looking for a new exploration partner.

Hot Pot (HP)

In 2004, NGE's regional reconnaissance hydrogeochemistry program identified a prospective exploration target near Hot Pot in Humboldt County, Nevada, approximately 30km northwest of Battle Mountain, Nevada. Regional gravity data suggested that the Hot Pot area is underlain by a bedrock high covered by a thin layer of sand & gravel.

On September 16, 2005, the Company entered into a 10 year Mining Lease Agreement on 8.8km² at Hot Pot Project, subject to a 3% NSR to the land owner. The Company also controls 6 claims at Hot Pot (50 hectares). The lands within the Mining Lease Agreement and the 6 claims are subject to a 1.25% NSR to Royal Gold, Inc.

In 2005, nine RC drill holes were completed at Hot Pot to depths ranging from 92m (300ft) to 190m (620ft) for a total of 1,195m (3,900ft). The widely-spaced, shallow holes confirmed bedrock to range in depth from 33m (110ft) to 112m (370ft). The bedrock was hydrothermally altered and contained anomalous gold and trace elements similar to that associated with the Lone Tree gold mine. The drilling also confirmed and enlarged the area of anomalous hydrogeochemistry.

Management Discussion and Analysis – Form 51-102F1 For the Period Ended January 31, 2014

In 2007, NGE used its hydroprobe equipment to complete a detailed hydrogeochemistry survey at Hot Pot on a 400m (1/4 mi) grid. The resulting dataset showed highly anomalous gold and trace elements chemistry and further expanded the area of exploration interest. Additionally, in 2007, NGE completed two seismic geophysical lines, which identified several deep, north-trending, steeply-dipping fault zones. In 2008, NGE completed a detailed gravity geophysical survey, which successfully mapped the relative depth to the underlying bedrock by measuring the density contrast between 200m sampling points. The gravity survey delineated sharp changes in the slope of the bedrock that coincided with the fault zones identified by seismic geophysics.

In 2008, NGE completed 10 vertical, RC drill holes to test small segments of the steeply-dipping fault zones identified by the 2007 seismic and gravity geophysics. Three vertical holes were spaced 100m (330ft) apart on each of three lines. The holes ranged in depth from 50m (165ft) to 175m (575ft) for a total of 1,085m (3,565ft). The shallow drill holes encountered hydrothermally altered bedrock containing anomalous gold and trace elements. Deeper, angle drill holes designed to cross cut the areas where the steeply-dipping fault zones had been projected were planned, but the drilling contractor was unable to complete the program.

Also in 2008, an energy company, with business interests separate from NGE, started a deep test drill hole on the Hot Pot property. In exchange for NGE's seismic data, NGE was granted access to drill cuttings from the 1,372m (4,500ft) drill hole. Significantly, the deep drill hole encountered hydrothermally-altered, Paleozic Rocks underlying the Hot Pot Project. Hydrothermal alteration includes carbon re-mobilization, local bleaching, clay, decalcification and secondary pyrite.

Although potentially economic quantities of gold mineralization have not yet been encountered at Hot Pot, drilling to date has been wide-spaced and could have easily missed the type of high-angle fault zones that control significant known gold mineralization elsewhere in the region. The widely-distributed, highly anomalous gold in groundwater together with the large area of hydrothermally-altered and geochemically anomalous bedrock strongly suggests that higher values for gold in bedrock than have been discovered to date may still be located nearby. The next step is more closely-spaced, shallow, vertical drill holes and/or deeper, angle holes targeted to intersect steeply-dipping, potentially ore-bearing fault zones and favourable bedrock units.

On September 16, 2009, the Company entered into an Exploration Agreement with International Enexco Ltd. ("Enexco") whereby Enexco can earn a 51% interest in the Hot Pot Property by drilling 6,000 meters (19,600ft) over three years, with the option to earn an additional 19%, for 70% total, by drilling another 3,000 meters (9,800ft) during the fourth year. On July 2, 2009, NGE announced that Enexco had begun drilling at Hot Pot.

In 2009 and early 2010, Enexco completed an 11 hole, 3,462 metre (11,360 ft) core drilling program at Hot Pot to collect stratigraphic information and test for mineralized structures beneath the alluvial cover. Enexco's drilling successfully encountered weak, but widespread anomalous gold values in all 11 holes. Importantly, the results showed that the anomalous gold values at Hot Pot are associated with increased copper values, an association that is related to ore-grade gold mineralization within the Marigold mine complex 9 km (6 miles) to the south-southwest. In addition, the results included anomalous silver concentrations, including one 4.57 metre (15 ft) interval averaging 15.5 gpt silver, as well as several other trace element distribution patterns indicative of a large hydrothermal system. Enexco engaged Doug McGibbon, an economic geologist with over 25 years of exploration experience in the Battle Mountain area and responsible for major discoveries at the Marigold and Pinson mines, to review the drilling results and the exploration data, and to put the Hot Pot property into regional context (taken from January 25, 2010, Enexco news release):

"Mr. McGibbon's study has confirmed that the hydrothermally altered and mineralized lithologies at Hot Pot are similar if not stratigraphically equivalent to those hosting orebodies at the Marigold mine. Although gold values only ranged up to 66 parts per billion, the mineralized zones encountered were up to 149 metres in length beneath overburden cover that was between 40 to 152 metres in all but two of the holes, with the spacing between holes still leaving sufficient room to host a significant gold deposit. Drilling also identified zones of oxidation to depths of 300 metres, significant intervals of brecciated material indicative of several major fault zones and an apparent horst block with similarities to the geologic setting at the Lone Tree mine. Structural analysis is currently under way, and additional geochemical and geophysical work are being considered to focus further drilling."

Management Discussion and Analysis – Form 51-102F1 For the Period Ended January 31, 2014

On August 16, 2011, NGE reported that Enexco withdrew from the Exploration Agreement at Hot Pot, and that as a result, Enexco retained no interest in the project. NGE has updated the exploration model for the project based on Enexco's drilling, and NGE believes that the combined dataset confirms that the project represents a compelling exploration target in an important part of Nevada.

Awakening (AW)

The Awakening Project is located in Humboldt County, Nevada, approximately 50km north-northwest of Winnemucca, Nevada, and directly north of the Sleeper Gold Mine. The Company has a 100% interest in 206 claims (16.7km²) at Awakening. The Awakening gold property is largely covered by syn- to post-mineral volcanic units and post-mineral alluvium and as a result, has seen little historic exploration activity. Projections of favourable lithology, structure, and alteration at regional, district and property scales suggest that potentially important gold-silver mineralization may be located within economic depths beneath the cover at Awakening.

In 2007 and 2008, NGE completed a detailed hydrogeochemistry program at Awakening. The groundwater samples contained high levels of gold and other trace elements in concentrations similar to those found at the adjacent Sleeper mine. During April and June, 2008, the Company's field crews completed soil sampling programs across the property and successfully confirmed the presence of anomalous gold and gold-related trace elements.

Also in 2008, NGE acquired approximately 85km² (33mi²) of high quality gravity geophysics data and approximately 173 km² (67 m²) of air magnetic data. The gravity geophysical survey was collected to delineate depth to metasedimentary and granitic bedrock, potential thickness of preserved rhyolitic volcanic rocks, and the location and orientation of prominent lithologic offsets that might be indicative of major fault zones. The detailed air magnetic survey was completed to be used in conjunction with the gravity data to define magnetically anomalous volcanic lithologies and zones of hydrothermal and/or structural magnetite destruction that might be indicative of major fault zones and possible hydrothermal alteration.

The results of the geochemistry and geophysical programs combined to improve NGE's exploration model and demonstrated that Awakening is a compelling target. In 2008, NGE commenced a Phase I RC drilling program at the Awakening property but drilling was suspended due to drilling difficulties.

During 2009, NGE completed detailed geologic mapping at a scale of 1:10,000 in the northern-most Slumbering Hills along the eastern edge of Awakening. In March, 2010, the Company completed a 258 page technical report summarizing all work completed on the property and began discussions with potential JV partners.

On June 4, 2010, Northgate Minerals Corp. ("Northgate") (TSX: NGX, NYSE Amex: NXG) and NGE announced the completion and execution of an Exploration and Option to Enter Joint Venture Agreement ("Agreement") on NGE's Awakening Gold Project ("Property"), in Humboldt County, Nevada. The Agreement granted Northgate the option to earn an initial 51% interest in the Property by spending USD\$4,100,000 in exploration and making additional cash payments totaling USD\$436,000 over five years. If Northgate completed the initial 51% earn-in, it had the option to earn an additional 14%, for a total of 65%, by completing a feasibility report on the Property.

During 2011, Northgate completed a seven hole core drilling program totalling 2,194 metres (7,198 feet). In January, 2011, Northgate was acquired by AuRico Gold Inc. ("AuRico"). AuRico indicated to NGE that Northgate's drilling results at Awakening were not encouraging enough to warrant AuRico's continuation of the Agreement, and during the year ended April 30, 2012, AuRico elected to terminate the Agreement. AuRico retains no interest in the project.

On July 1, 2008, the Company entered into a Mining Lease agreement with DIR Exploration Inc. on 15 claims (1.2km²) contained within NGE's larger claim block known as the Shine Claims. In early 2011, NGE completed a 10 hole, 1,573 metre (5,160 feet), Phase I drilling program on the Shine Claims. Based on the results of the drilling at the Shine Claims in the context of the other data collected elsewhere at the Awakening Project, the Company decided to focus its exploration on areas within the claims controlled directly by NGE; and accordingly, NGE terminated the Mining Lease on the Shine Claims during the year ended April 30, 2013.

Management Discussion and Analysis – Form 51-102F1 For the Period Ended January 31, 2014

NGE's management believes that the results of NGE's integrated exploration program at Awakening have delineated a focused pediment target along a structural zone extending north from Paramount Gold & Silver Corp.'s Sleeper Gold Project. On March 3, 2014, NGE announced that to fully secure the target, NGE staked an additional 41 new unpatented mining claims at Awakening, for a total of 158 claims covering approximately 1,200 hectares (2,950 acres).

Kelly Creek (KC)

The Kelly Creek Project is located in Humboldt County, Nevada, approximately 40km north-northwest of Battle Mountain, Nevada. The Company has a 100% interest in 430 claims (34.8km²) at Kelly Creek. On January 1, 2009, the Company entered into a Mining Lease and Option to Purchase Agreement with Genesis Gold Corporation ("Genesis") to acquire a 100% interest of Genesis's Hot Pot Claims, which consist of 254 unpatented mineral claims (20.2km²). Under the Agreement, the Company is the Operator and has the option to purchase 100% of the Genesis claims for USD\$1,500,000, subject to a 1.5% Net Smelter Return Royalty ("Royalty"). The Company also has the option to purchase one half of the royalty (0.75%) for USD\$750,000.

The Kelly Creek project area is located in the prolific Kelly Creek Basin, between multi-million ounce gold deposits on the north (Twin Creeks, Getchell, Turquoise Ridge and Pinson) and south (Lone Tree, Marigold, Converse, Trenton Canyon and Copper Canyon). With the addition of Genesis's Hot Pot claims, NGE is now one of the largest property holders in the Kelly Creek Basin, along with Newmont Mining Corporation, which controls the majority of the alternating sections. However, despite its close proximity to world class gold deposits, the Kelly Creek project area has seen very limited historic exploration activity because the Basin's bedrock is largely covered by syn- to post-mineral volcanic units and post-mineral alluvium.

During 2007 and 2008, NGE completed a large scale reconnaissance hydrogeochemistry sampling program across the Kelly Creek Basin and successfully delineated a significant area of anomalous hydrogeochemistry similar to that surrounding the adjacent gold mines. A detailed gravity geophysical survey was completed in 2010 with positive results confirming the presence of shallow bedrock over the large area.

Kelly Creek sits adjacent to NGE's Hot Pot Project and has benefited from the updated and improved understanding of the district geologic controls provided by the Enexco drilling at Hot Pot. Combined with the hydrogeochemistry and gravity geophysics that NGE has collected, and the favorable regional, district and property scale lithology, structure and alteration, NGE believes that it has narrowed the area of exploration focus at Kelly Creek and confirmed the strong potential for covered gold mineralization. NGE is now looking for an exploration partner to work with at Kelly Creek, and expects the next phases of work at Kelly Creek to include detailed air magnetic geophysics, additional groundwater sampling, and seismic geophysics.

Grass Valley (GV)

On February 27, 2012, NGE announced that it had entered into a generative Exploration Agreement with McEwen Mining Inc. ("McEwen Mining") to generate new gold projects using NGE's hydrogeochemistry exploration technology within a 25,000 hectare (95 sq mi) study area centered around Grass Valley in north central Nevada (referred to as the Area of Interest or "AOI").

Under the generative Exploration Agreement, McEwen Mining has engaged NGE to complete a hydrogeochemistry sampling program across the large AOI to indentify and delineate discrete new target areas in exchange for a service fee. Any projects that McEwen Mining acquires within the AOI will be deemed Designated Properties. Upon acquiring a Designated Property, McEwen Mining will pay NGE the greater of \$25,000 USD or \$100 USD per claim, and grant NGE a 30% carried interest in the Designated Property. McEwen Mining will be the manager of the Designated Properties, will have sole discretion on the nature and timing of all exploration and development activities at the Designated Properties, and will be solely responsible for payment of all costs incurred in respect of the Designated Properties. If McEwen Mining elects to continue to maintain a Designated Property, McEwen Mining will pay NGE \$100,000 USD per year on the first through fourth anniversaries of acquiring the Designated

Management Discussion and Analysis – Form 51-102F1 For the Period Ended January 31, 2014

Property, and then \$250,000 USD on each subsequent anniversary. If McEwen Mining completes a Production Decision Report on a Designated Property that McEwen Mining deems sufficient to base a decision to commence production, the Designated Property will advance under a Joint Venture agreement.

On September 24, 2012, the Company announced that McEwen Mining elected to designate a new gold project in Grass Valley, Nevada, as a Designated Property. The Property is located in Lander County, Nevada, 90 km (55 miles) southwest of Carlin, along the western side of Grass Valley, approximately 16 kilometres (10 mi) south of Barrick Gold Corp.'s Cortez Pipeline property, one of the world's largest and lowest cost gold mines. The Property consists of approximately 59 square kilometres (23 sq mi) of unpatented mining claims.

Since acquiring the Grass Valley Project, McEwen Mining has advanced the Project by: collecting rock, soil, and vegetation geochemistry samples; mapping the exposed range front geology in the context of the regionally important structural controls; completing a detailed gravity geophysics survey; acquiring and reprocessing historic seismic geophysical data; and drilling one stratigraphic test drill hole.

South Grass Valley (SGV)

On November 18, 2013, NGE announced that it had established the South Grass Valley Project by staking 186 unpatented mining claims (15km²). The Project is located in Lander County, north-central Nevada, approximately 50 kilometres (32 miles) south southwest of Barrick Gold Corp.'s Cortez Pipeline property.

Bull Creek (BU)

The Bull Creek Project is located in Humboldt County, Nevada, approximately 60 km west-northwest of Winnemucca, Nevada. The Company held a 100% interest in 108 (2012 – 264) claims (approx. 8.7 km²) at Bull Creek. During the period ended January 31, 2014, the Company realigned its land holdings in Nevada and decided to drop its claims at the Bull Creek Project. The Company wrote off all associated costs of \$1,352,613.

Sand Pass (SP)

The Sand Pass Project is located in Humboldt County, Nevada, approximately 10 km north of Winnemucca, Nevada. The Company held a 100% interest in 89 (2012 – 145) claims (approx. 16.6 km²) at Sand Pass, as well as a Mining Lease Agreement covering another 940 hectares. During the period ended January 31, 2014, the Company terminated the Mining Lease Agreement and dropped its claims at Sand Pass as it realigned its land holdings in Nevada. The Company wrote off all associated costs of \$448,802.

Rye Patch (RP)

The Rye Patch Project is located in Pershing County, Nevada, approximately 30 km northeast of Lovelock, Nevada. The Company held a 100% interest in 41 (2012 – 126) claims (approx. 3.3 km²) at Rye Patch, as well as Mining Lease Agreements covering another 70 hectares. During the period ended January 31, 2014, the Mining Lease Agreements expired and the Company dropped its claims at the Rye Patch Project as it realigned its land holdings in Nevada. The Company wrote off all associated costs of \$278,034.

Jungo (JU)

The Jungo Project is located in both Humboldt and Pershing Counties, Nevada, approximately 60 km west of Winnemucca, Nevada. The Company held a 100% interest in 108 (2012 – 156) claims (approx. 8.7 km²) at Jungo. During the period ended January 31, 2014, the Company realigned its land holdings in Nevada and decided to drop its claims at the Jungo Project. The Company wrote off all associated costs of \$241,891.

Management Discussion and Analysis – Form 51-102F1 For the Period Ended January 31, 2014

Whiskey Flats (WF)

The Whiskey Flats Project is located in Mineral County, Nevada, approximately 20 km south of Hawthorne, Nevada. The Company held a 100% interest in 99 (2012 – 123) claims (8.0 km²) at Whiskey Flats. During the period ended January 31, 2014, the Company realigned its land holdings in Nevada and decided to drop its claims at the Whiskey Flats Project. The Company wrote off all associated costs of \$311,579.

For a summary of NGE's property expenditures to date, please refer to Note 8 in the associated financial statements.

OTHER EXPLORATION PARTNERSHIPS

In addition to advancing its own projects, NGE is using its hydrogeochemistry expertise and equipment to work with other companies on other projects. These exploration partnerships allow NGE to leverage its significant investment in its hydrogeochemistry program to expose NGE's shareholders to the upside of more projects, as well as to provide NGE revenue to offset its operating expenses.

McEwen Mining Inc. at Gold Bar and Tonkin

On June 6, 2011, NGE announced that US Gold Corporation, now McEwen Mining Inc, ("McEwen Mining") has engaged NGE to conduct a hydrogeochemistry exploration program on McEwen Mining's large land position surrounding its Gold Bar and Tonkin Properties in Nevada ("Project Area").

McEwen Mining's Gold Bar and Tonkin Properties are located on the south-central part of the prolific Eureka-Battle Mountain gold trend in north-central Nevada. McEwen Mining's land position is located approximately 16 km (10 miles) SE of Barrick's Cortez gold mine complex (39 MMOz as of September 7, 2011) and approximately 35 km (22 miles) NW of Barrick's Ruby Hill gold mine (1.1 MMOz as of December 31, 2010). The Project Area totals approximately 430 km² (165 mi²) and contains considerable areas of highly prospective but covered bedrock. McEwen Mining has chosen to work with NGE specifically because of NGE's expertise in exploring for gold mineralization in covered bedrock settings using its industry leading hydrogeochemistry exploration technology.

Under the agreement, NGE will complete a groundwater sampling and analysis program across the Project Area to identify new exploration targets, and in return, McEwen Mining will pay NGE agreed upon rates for its services, as well as grant to NGE a 0.5 to 1.0% Net Smelter Return Royalty on resources within the Project Area that are not already contained in NI 43-101 compliant resource areas referred to in reports published prior to the date of the agreement.

GENERATIVE EXPLORATION

During the 2013 field season, NGE's regional focus for generative exploration using its hydrogeochemistry exploration technology was in north east Nevada, within geologic settings similar to Long Canyon. NGE collected approximately 700 reconnaissance groundwater samples in 2013 and is now evaluating the sample results to identify new high quality exploration targets.

Management Discussion and Analysis – Form 51-102F1 For the Period Ended January 31, 2014

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Revenue

During the period ended January 31, 2014, NGE generated \$139,487 (2013 - \$167,679) revenues from project management and consulting activities and received \$103,992 (2013 - \$72,516) in option payments. The Company's primary activities continue to be searching for and advancing properties that may contain economic resources that would allow the Company to option or sell its interests, or to set up profitable mining operations.

Operating Expenses

During the period ended January 31, 2014, NGE's net losses were \$3,131,825 compared to \$598,445 for the period ended January 31, 2013. The significant increase in net losses for the period ended January 31, 2014 was primarily as a result of write-off of exploration and evaluation assets of \$2,632,919 (2013 - \$Nil) which was incurred as the Company realigned its land holdings in Nevada and decided to drop all remaining land positions at the Bull Creek, Sand Pass, Rye Patch, Jungo and Whiskey Flats properties.

General exploration costs during the period ended January 31, 2014, were \$145,797 compared to \$46,190 for the period ended January 31, 2013. The increase is a result of increased exploration expenditures during the period. Because the expenditures are not related to any specific property, they have been expensed.

Professional fees, consulting, and investor relations costs during the period ended January 31, 2014, were \$116,244 compared to \$144,918 for the period ended January 31, 2013. The decrease is primarily as a result of decreased in legal and accounting fees during the period.

Project management and consulting expenses during the period ended January 31, 2014, were \$36,136 compared to \$61,085 for the period ended January 31, 2013. The decrease is due to lower project management and consulting activities during the period.

Salaries and related expenses during the period ended January 31, 2014, were \$305,519 compared to \$314,365 for the period ended January 31, 2013. The expenses in both periods are comparable. Included in salaries is compensation of key management personnel which is described below under the subheading "Key Management Compensation".

Unrealized loss on marketable securities during the period ended January 31, 2014, was \$18,708, compared to an unrealized gain of \$9,014 for the period ended January 31, 2013. The unrealized loss on marketable securities for the current period was incurred as the Company revalued 170,068 shares of Spruce Ridge Resources Ltd., classified as fair value through profit or loss, based on the market price at January 31, 2014 for \$0.02 per share.

The total share-based payment for the period ended January 31, 2014, was \$Nil, compared to \$109,436 for the period ended January 31, 2013 as the Company did not grant any stock options during the period.

Selected Annual Information

The following table provides a brief summary of the Company's financial operations. For more detailed information, refer to the financial statements.

	Year Ended April 30, 2013	Year Ended April 30, 2012	Year Ended, April 30, 2011
Total revenues	\$ 240,710	\$ 543,971	\$ 125,669
Net loss	(821,148)	(854,904)	(1,331,595)
Basic and diluted loss per share	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
Total assets	7,321,666	7,076,791	5,900,873
Total long-term liabilities	-	-	6,882

Management Discussion and Analysis – Form 51-102F1 For the Period Ended January 31, 2014

Summary of Quarterly Results

		ee Month		Three Month eriod Ended		Three Month Period Ended		Three Month Period Ended
		nuary 31,		October 31,		July 31,		April 30,
	Ja	2014		2013		2013		2013
T	Φ.	501.464	ф	4.540.055	ф	4.540.510	Ф	T 221 666
Total assets		,781,464	\$	4,540,055	\$, ,	\$	7,321,666
Exploration and evaluation assets	4	,410,525		4,081,553		3,904,561		6,407,725
Working capital (deficiency)		(85,974)		99,560		295,558		570,528
Equity	4	,559,258		4,418,070		4,435,798		7,213,628
Revenues		40,195		203,393		- (2 001 040)		- (222 522)
Net loss		(154,967)		(75,018)		(2,901,840)		(222,703)
Loss per share		(0.00)		(0.00)		(0.02)		(0.01)
		ee Month		hree Month		Three Month		Three Month
		od Ended		riod Ended]	Period Ended		Period Ended
	Jar	nuary 31,	(October 31,		July 31,		April 30,
		2013		2012		2012		2012
Total assets	\$ 7	7,486,066	\$	6,787,205	\$	6,991,339	\$	7,076,791
Exploration and evaluation assets		5,349,553	4	6,367,182	Ψ	6,178,755	Ψ	6,002,903
Working capital		814,823		35,249		325,856		577,500
Equity	7	7,385,221		6,632,997		6,430,084		6,835,944
Revenues		44,357		133,794		62,559		20,426
Net loss	((262,542)		(150,174)		(185,729)		(221,371)
Loss per share		(0.00)		(0.00)		(0.00)		(0.00)

ASSETS & LIABILITIES

Deposits for land reclamation also add to the Company's asset base. Those deposits as at January 31, 2014 are \$92,000 (April 30, 2013 - \$83,220). These deposits (bonds) are required by the U.S Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and US Forest Service (USFS) to ensure that reclamation and clean-up work on NGE's properties will be completed to the satisfaction of the BLM and the USFS.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Liquidity

The Company has financed its operations primarily through the issuance of common shares. The Company continues to seek capital through various means including the issuance of equity and/or debt.

The financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis which assumes that the Company will be able to realize its assets and discharge its liabilities in the normal course of business for the foreseeable future. The continuing operations of the Company are dependent upon its ability to continue to raise adequate financing.

Net cash used in operating activities for the period ended January 31, 2014 was \$373,741 compared to \$607,809 during the period ended January 31, 2013 and consists primarily of the operating loss and changes in non-cash working capital items.

Management Discussion and Analysis – Form 51-102F1 For the Period Ended January 31, 2014

Net cash used in investing activities for the period ended January 31, 2014 was \$132,303 compared to \$309,572 during the period ended January 31, 2013 and consists primarily of land holding and exploration expenditures.

Net cash used in financing activities for the period ended January 31, 2014 was \$1,551 compared to \$950,196 of net cash provided by financing activities during the period ended January 31, 2013. The difference is attributable to share issuance costs and repayment of finance lease obligations.

Capital Resources

During the period ended January 31, 2014, the Company did not have any financing activities.

As of January 31, 2014, the Company has a finance lease obligation for a leased vehicle, with blended monthly payments of principal and interest of \$51,847 (US\$46,550) and bearing interest at a rate of 2.90% per annum. The total portion representing interest is \$3,520. The total of principal repayments of the finance lease obligations that are due within the next one year is \$11,874, and the remaining portion for \$36,453 is due between fiscal year 2015 to 2019.

As of January 31, 2014 the Company has the following commitments:

- a) The Company has entered into a lease agreement for premises expiring on November 30, 2014. Lease commitments are US\$4,630 per month for the first year, and US\$4,769 per month for the second year.
- b) The Company has various commitments relating to its exploration and evaluation assets as disclosed in Note 8 of the associated financial statements.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

As at January 31, 2014, NGE had no off balance sheet arrangements such as guaranteed contracts, contingent interests in assets transferred to an entity, derivative instrument obligations or any instruments that could trigger financing, market or credit risk to NGE.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

During the period ended January 31, 2014, the Company:

i) paid or accrued \$40,930 in professional fees to a firm in which the Chief Financial Officer of the Company is a partner.

During the period ended January 31, 2013, the Company:

- i) paid or accrued \$48,800 in professional fees to a firm in which the Chief Financial Officer of the Company is a partner.
- ii) Granted 1,000,000 stock options to independent directors of the Company resulting in share-based payment of \$97,959; and
- iii) entered into an Exploration and Option to Joint Venture Agreement with Spruce Ridge on the Company's Fletcher Junction Project and received 170,068 shares as partial payment on the option. At that time, an Officer and Director of Spruce Ridge was also a Director of the Company.

Management Discussion and Analysis – Form 51-102F1 For the Period Ended January 31, 2014

As at January 31, 2014, the Company had \$Nil (April 30, 2013 - \$43,595) in accounts receivable from Spruce Ridge as a result of the services provided.

The amounts of due to related parties included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities are as follows:

	January 31, 2014	April 30, 2013
Due to a firm of which the Chief Financial Officer is a partner Due to Spruce Ridge	\$ 28,440	\$ 15,000 25,187
	\$ 28,440	\$ 40,187

KEY MANAGEMENT COMPENSATION

Of the \$305,519 in salary expenses, \$251,836 is for key management personnel, defined as those persons having authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling activities of the Company, directly or indirectly including any director (whether executive or otherwise) of the Company. The Company's key management personnel include the following: Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer, and President.

Remuneration of key management of the Company was as follows:

	Nine Months Ended January 31, 2014	Nine Months Ended January 31, 2013
Salaries	\$ 251,836	\$ 256,320

The amount included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities which is due to key management personnel is as follows:

	January 31, 2014	April 30, 2013
Due to key management personnel	\$ 92,328	\$

Management Discussion and Analysis – Form 51-102F1 For the Period Ended January 31, 2014

DISCLOSURE OF OUTSTANDING SHARE DATA

As at March 26, 2014, the Company has 128,065,900 common shares issued and outstanding and has the following stock options and warrants outstanding:

	Number	Exercise		
	of Options	Price	Expiry Date	
Stock	250,000	0.16	September 30, 2014	
options	900,000	0.10	September 30, 2014	
•	600,000	0.10	November 17, 2014	
	2,950,000	0.10	December 31, 2015	
	400,000	0.10	August 9, 2016	
	100,000	0.11	September 25, 2017	
	500,000	0.12	December 4, 2017	
	3,050,000	0.10	March 2, 2019	
	8,750,000		•	
Warrants	5,278,000	0.14	April 16, 2014	
	5,000,000	0.15	December 24, 2014	
	10,278,000		•	

FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND FINANCIAL RISK FACTORS

Risk Management Policies

The Company is exposed to risk due to the nature of its financial instruments. Risk management is the responsibility of management and the Company did not use derivative instruments.

Fair Value

Financial instruments measured at fair value are classified into one of three levels in the fair value hierarchy according to the relative reliability of the inputs used to estimate the fair values. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are:

- Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;
- Level 2 Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability either directly or indirectly; and
- Level 3 Inputs that are not based on observable market data.

Short term investments are measured at level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. The fair value of short term investments is measured at the market price of the common shares held at the measurement date. The carrying value of cash, accounts receivable (excluding GST receivable), deposits and bonds, finance lease obligations and accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximated their fair value because of the short-term nature of these instruments.

Financial Risk Factors

The Company's risk exposures and the impact on the Company's financial instruments are summarized below:

Management Discussion and Analysis – Form 51-102F1 For the Period Ended January 31, 2014

Credit risk

Credit risk is the risk of loss associated with a counterparty's inability to fulfill its payment obligations. The Company's credit risk is primarily attributable to cash. Management believes that the credit risk concentration with respect to cash is remote as it maintains accounts with highly-rated financial institutions.

Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not be able to meet its financial obligations as they fall due. The Company manages liquidity risk through the management of its capital structure and financial leverage, as outlined in the discussion on capital management. It also manages liquidity risk by continuously monitoring actual and projected cash flows. The Board of Directors reviews and approves the Company's operating and capital budgets, as well as any material transactions out of the normal course of business.

As at January 31, 2014, the Company had a cash balance of \$74,642 (April 30, 2013 - \$591,060) to settle current liabilities of \$185,753 (April 30, 2013 - \$108,038). The Company will require financing from lenders, shareholders and other investors to generate sufficient capital to meet its short term business requirements.

Market risk

Market risk is the risk of loss that may arise from changes in market factors such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and commodity and equity prices.

(a) Interest rate risk

The Company is exposed to interest rate risk to the extent that the cash maintained at the financial institutions is subject to floating rate of interest. The interest rate risks on cash and on the Company's obligations are not considered significant.

(b) Foreign currency risk

The Company is exposed to financial risk arising from fluctuations in foreign exchange rates and the degree of volatility of these rates. A significant portion of the Company's expenses is denominated in US dollars. Consequently, certain assets, liabilities and operating expenses are exposed to currency fluctuations. The Company does not use derivative instruments to reduce its exposure to foreign currency risk.

Net assets denominated in foreign currency and the Canadian dollars equivalent at January 31, 2014 are as follows:

	CDN	USD
Current assets Non-current assets Current liabilities	\$ 84,294 4,670,185 (110,150)	\$ 75,681 4,193,019 (98,896)
	\$ 4,644,329	\$ 4,169,804

Net exposure

Based on the above net exposures as at January 31, 2014, and assuming all other variables remain constant, a 1% change in the value of the US dollar against the Canadian dollar would result in an increase/decrease of \$46,443 in profit or loss.

Management Discussion and Analysis – Form 51-102F1 For the Period Ended January 31, 2014

CAPITAL DISCLOSURES

In order to maintain its capital structure, the Company, is dependent on equity funding and when necessary, raises capital through the issuance of equity instruments, primarily comprised of common shares and incentive stock options. In the management of capital, the Company includes the components of equity as well as cash.

The Company prepares annual estimates of exploration expenditures and monitors actual expenditures compared to the estimates to ensure that there is sufficient capital on hand to meet ongoing obligations. The Company's investment policy is to invest any excess cash in highly liquid short-term deposits with terms of one year or less and which can be liquidated after thirty days without interest penalty. The Company currently has insufficient capital to fund its exploration programs and is reliant on completing equity financings to fund further exploration. The Company is not subject to any externally imposed capital requirements.

There were no changes in the Company's approach to capital management during the period ended January 31, 2014.

Financial liabilities

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities, and finance lease obligations are classified as financial liabilities and are measured at face value. Management has determined that the face value of financial liabilities approximates fair value due to the expected short-term maturity of the debts.

The Company's financial liabilities primarily constitute trade payables owing to both arms' length and related parties. These are unsecured and, excepting normal trade credit terms, are due on demand.

The Company believes the fair value of its financial liabilities approximate their carrying values primarily due to their short-term nature. There are no quoted market prices from active markets for any of the financial liabilities held by the Company and thus fair values were assessed using valuation techniques consistent with International Financial Reporting Standards. The Company intends to reduce its financial liabilities by re-financing through additional share issuances.

RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES

In conducting its business of mineral exploration, NGE is subject to a wide variety of known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may affect the results, performance or achievement of the Company. Such risks and factors include, among others: risks related to the actual results of current and future exploration activities; future prices for gold, silver, and other commodities; environmental risks and hazards; the Company's lack of substantial revenue; the Company's ongoing need to raise money through equity financings; increases to operating, labour, and supply costs; and changes to government regulation, taxes, and fees. Although the Company attempts to identify and plan for these important factors that could affect results materially, the Company cautions the reader that the above list of risk factors is not exhaustive there may be other factors that cause results to differ from anticipated, estimated, or intended results.

Ultimately, there can be no guarantee that the Company will be successful in making an economic mineral discovery.

Management Discussion and Analysis – Form 51-102F1 For the Period Ended January 31, 2014

LIST OF DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

Wade A. Hodges, CEO, Chairman and Director Cyrus Driver, CFO and Director Kenneth Tullar, COO and Director Jennifer Boyle, Director Dr. John E. Larson, Director James Buskard, President

GOING CONCERN OF OPERATIONS

These condensed consolidated interim financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") on a going concern basis that presumes the realization of assets and discharge of liabilities in the normal course of business. There are material uncertainties related to adverse conditions and events that cast substantial doubt on the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

During the period ended January 31, 2014, the Company incurred a comprehensive loss of \$2,654,370 (2013 – \$541,676) and as at that date, the Company had accumulated deficit of \$12,672,962 (April 30, 2013 – \$9,835,941), a working capital deficiency of \$85,974 (April 30, 2013 – working capital surplus of \$570,528) and negative cash flows from operations of \$373,741 (2013 – \$607,809). These factors create material uncertainties that may cast substantial doubt upon the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

As is common with junior mining companies, the Company continues to seek capital through various means including the issuance of equity and/or debt to finance its on-going and planned exploration activities and to cover administrative costs.

In order to continue as a going concern and to meet its corporate objectives, the Company will require additional financing through debt or equity issuances or other available means. Although the Company has been successful in the past in obtaining financing, there is no assurance that it will be able to obtain adequate financing in the future or that such financing will be on terms advantageous to the Company.

Recovery of the carrying value of the mining claims and related deferred exploration expenditures are dependent upon the discovery of economically recoverable resources, the ability of the Company to develop necessary financing to continue exploration and development, the ability of the Company to secure and maintain title and beneficial interest in the properties, entering into agreements with others to explore and develop the properties and upon future profitable production or proceeds from disposition of such properties.

These condensed consolidated interim financial statements do not include any adjustments to the amounts and classification of assets and liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue operations. Such adjustments would be material.

Management Discussion and Analysis – Form 51-102F1 For the Period Ended January 31, 2014

CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING POLICIES

New and amended standards adopted by the Company during the current period

The following new and amended standards adopted by the Company did not result in a significant impact on the Company's financial statements:

- Amendments to IFRS 7, Financial Instruments: Disclosures, to require information about all recognized financial instruments that are set off in accordance with paragraph 42 of IAS 32 Financial Instruments: Presentation.
- New standard IFRS 10, Consolidated Financial Statements, replaces the guidance on control and consolidation in IAS 27, Consolidated and Separate Financial statements, and SIC-12, Consolidation – Special Purpose Entities. IFRS 10 changes the definition of control under IFRS so that the same criteria are applied to all entities to determine control.
- New standard IFRS 11, Joint arrangements, requires a venture to classify its interest in a joint arrangement as a joint venture or joint operation. Joint ventures will be accounted for using the equity method of accounting whereas for joint operation, the venture will recognize its share of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses of the joint operation. Under existing IFRS, entities have the choice to proportionally consolidate or equity account for interest in joint ventures. IFRS 11 supersedes IAS 31 Interest in Joint Ventures and SIC 13 Jointly Controlled Entities Non-monetary Contributions by Venturers.
- New standard IFRS 12, Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities. This new standard provides the disclosure requirements for all forms of interests in other entities, including subsidiaries, joint arrangements, associates and consolidated structured entities.
- New standard IFRS 13, Fair Value Measurement. This standard defines fair value and sets out in a single IFRS a framework for measuring fair value and requires disclosures about fair value measurements. The standard does not determine when an asset, a liability or an entity's own equity instrument is measured at fair value. Rather, the measurement and disclosure requirements of IFRS 13 apply when another IFRS requires or permits the item to be measured at fair value (with limited exceptions).
- Reissued IAS 27, Separate Financial Statements, requires that when an entity prepares separate financial statements, investments in subsidiaries, associates, and jointly controlled entities are accounted for either at cost, or in accordance with IFRS 9 Financial Instruments.
- Reissued IAS 28, Investment in Associates and Joint Ventures, supersedes IAS 28 Investments in Associates
 and defines 'significant influence' and provides guidance on how the equity method of accounting is to be
 applied (including exemptions from applying the equity method in some cases). It also prescribes how
 investments in associates and joint ventures should be tested for impairment.

New or revised standards and amendments to existing standards not yet effective

The Company has not applied the following new or revised standards and amendments that have been issued but are not yet effective for the Company's January 31, 2014 reporting period:

• Amendments to IAS 32, *Financial Instruments*: Presentation, to provide clarification on the application of offsetting rules. This standard is effective for years beginning on or after January 1, 2014.

Management Discussion and Analysis – Form 51-102F1 For the Period Ended January 31, 2014

- Amendments to IAS 36, Impairment of Assets ("IFRS 36"), clarify the recoverable amount disclosures for non-financial assets, including additional disclosures about the measurement of the recoverable amount of impaired assets when the recoverable amount was based on fair value less costs of disposal. The amendments apply retrospectively for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2014. Earlier application is permitted except an entity shall not apply those amendments in periods (including comparative periods) in which it does not also apply IFRS 13.
- New standard IFRS 9, *Financial Instruments*, which covers the classification and measurement of financial assets as part of its project to replace IAS 39, "Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement." In January 2010, the requirements for classifying and measuring financial liabilities were added to IFRS 9. Under this guidance, entities have the option to recognize financial liabilities at fair value through earnings. If this option is elected, entitles would be required to reverse the portion of the fair value change due to own credit risk out of earnings and recognize the change in other comprehensive income. This standard is effective for accounting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2015

The Company is currently assessing the impact that these standards will have on the Company's financial statements. The Company plans to adopt these standards as soon as they become effective for the Company's reporting period.

DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES AND INTERNAL CONTROLS

In connection with National Instrument 52-109 (Certificate of Disclosure in Issuer's Annual and Interim Filings) ("NI 52-109"), the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company have filed a Venture Issuer Basic Certificate with respect to the financial information contained in the condensed consolidated interim financial statements and this accompanying interim MD&A (together the "Interim Filings"). In contrast to the full certificate under NI 52-109, the Venture Issuer Basic Certificate does not include representations relating to the establishment and maintenance of disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting, as defined in NI 52-109. For further information the reader should refer to the Venture Issuer Basic Certificates filed by the Company with the Annual Filings on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Statements herein that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements that are subject to risks and uncertainties. Words such as "expects", "intends", "may", "could", "should", "anticipates", "likely", "believes" and words of similar import also identify forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements are based on current facts and analyses and other information that are based on forecasts of future results, estimates of amounts not yet determined and assumptions of management, including, but not limited to, assumptions regarding the Company's ability to raise additional debt and/or equity financing to fund operations and working capital requirements and assumptions regarding the Company's mineral properties.

Actual results may differ materially from those currently anticipated due to a number of factors including, but not limited to, general economic conditions, the geology of mineral properties, exploration results, commodity market conditions, the Company's ability to generate sufficient cash flows from operations and financing to support general operating activities and capital expansion plans, and laws and regulations and changes thereto that may affect operations, and other factors beyond the reasonable control of the Company.

Additional information on factors that may affect the business and financial results of the Company can be found in filings of the Company with the British Columbia Securities Commissions on www.sedar.com